

# Nanoscale Metal–Organic Frameworks for Ratiometric Oxygen Sensing in Live Cells

Ruoyu Xu,<sup>†,§</sup> Youfu Wang,<sup>†,‡,§</sup> Xiaopin Duan,<sup>†</sup> Kuangda Lu,<sup>†</sup> Daniel Micheroni,<sup>†</sup> Aiguo Hu,<sup>‡</sup> and Wenbin Lin\*,<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States <sup>‡</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Advanced Polymeric Materials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We report the design of a phosphorescence/fluorescence dual-emissive nanoscale metal-organic framework (NMOF), R-UiO, as an intracellular oxygen  $(O_2)$  sensor. R-UiO contains a Pt(II)-porphyrin ligand as an O2-sensitive probe and a Rhodamine-B isothiocyanate ligand as an O2-insensitive reference probe. It exhibits good crystallinity, high stability, and excellent ratiometric luminescence response to O<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. In vitro experiments confirmed the applicability of R-UiO as an intracellular O2 biosensor. This work is the first report of a NMOF-based intracellular oxygen sensor and should inspire the design of ratiometric NMOF sensors for other important analytes in biological systems.

 $\sum$  xygen (O<sub>2</sub>) is a vital component in aerobic respiration that provides metabolic energy to cells. Hypoxia—a reduction in normal levels of O2-is related to various diseases,<sup>1</sup> including vascular disease, pulmonary disease, and cancer.<sup>2</sup> For instance, sustained hypoxia in a growing tumor can result in a more aggressive phenotype.<sup>3</sup> Monitoring and quantifying  $O_2$  levels in living cells are thus of great importance for cancer diagnosis, tumor pathophysiology assessment, and evaluation of the therapeutic effects of anticancer treatments. O<sub>2</sub> tension can be detected and measured by several methods, including polarographic O<sub>2</sub> needle electrodes,<sup>4</sup> immunohistochemical staining,<sup>5</sup> positron emission tomography imaging,<sup>6</sup> magnetic resonance imaging, and photoluminescence imaging.8 Because of their high sensitivity and outstanding spatial resolution, photoluminescence-based techniques provide particularly powerful tools for sensing O2 in living cells. Ratiometric sensing, which uses an O2-insensitive reference and an O<sub>2</sub>-sensitive probe to measure emission ratios at two different wavelengths, can compensate for signal changes caused by disturbances from the external environment, such as light scattering and fluctuations in the excitation source, allowing for accurate measurements of O<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Since Kopelman and co-workers first developed PEEBLEs (probes encapsulated by biologically localized embedding) containing a cyanine dye standard and a Pt-porphyrin phosphor for ratiometric  $O_2$  sensing,<sup>10</sup> a number of polymeric nano-particles,<sup>8c,e</sup> silica gels,<sup>11</sup> and quantum dots<sup>12</sup> have emerged as promising ratiometric O<sub>2</sub> sensors.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are an emerging class of porous materials built from metal ions or clusters bridged by organic linkers that have been explored as chemical sensors. Nanoscale MOFs (NMOFs) have also been used for small molecule sensing<sup>14</sup> and biomedical imaging.<sup>15</sup> NMOFs exhibit several characteristics that make them ideal nanomaterials for biological and biomedical applications. First, NMOFs have good crystallinity and structural tunability, which allow synthetic elaborations for specific applications. Second, NMOFs are highly porous, which allows them to accommodate high loadings of imaging/therapeutic agents, quickly diffuse small molecules (i.e., drugs, analytes), and prevent dye selfquenching. Third, NMOFs do not suffer from agent leaching due to covalent bonding. Fourth, NMOFs are intrinsically biodegradable in the long term due to their relatively labile metal-ligand bonds.<sup>16</sup> For example, we have recently used a fluorescent NMOF for real-time intracellular pH sensing<sup>15a</sup> and a porphyrin-based NMOF in photodynamic therapy.<sup>17</sup> Herein, we report the first NMOF probe for ratiometric O<sub>2</sub> sensing in live cells.

We hypothesized that an NMOF with both O2-independent and -sensitive ligands would serve as an excellent ratiometric O2 sensor by taking advantage of the aforementioned features of NMOFs. Pt-5,15-di(p-benzoato)porphyrin (DBP-Pt) was chosen as an O2-sensitive bridging ligand, whereas Rhodamine-B isothiocyanate (RITC)-conjugated quaterphenyldicarboxylate (QPDC) was used as an  $O_2$ -independent ligand to construct a ratiometric NMOF, R-UiO (Scheme 1). Ptporphyrin shows bright phosphorescence with a long-lived triplet state at ambient temperature, and its phosphorescence





Received: December 24, 2015 Published: February 11, 2016

intensity is highly dependent on O<sub>2</sub> concentration, making it an ideal choice as an O<sub>2</sub>-sensitive probe in ratiometric O<sub>2</sub> sensing.<sup>8c-e,10</sup> We selected Rhodamine-B as a reference dye for the following reasons: (1) Absorption of Rhodamine-B and H<sub>2</sub>DBP-Pt at 500–540 nm allows for the simultaneous excitation of both dyes (Figure S5). (2) The non-overlap of Rhodamine-B fluorescence (570 nm) and H<sub>2</sub>DBP-Pt phosphorescence (630 nm) (Figure S5) facilitates ratiometric luminescence quantifications. (3) The pH-independent fluorescence of Rhodamine-B at pH >6 prevents disturbance due to cellular pH changes.<sup>18</sup> (4) Emissions of both dyes at relatively long wavelengths (>570 nm) minimize background autofluorescence from live cells.

We further hypothesized that a mixed-ligand NMOF with both DBP-Pt and amino-quaterphenyldicarboxylate (QPDC-NH<sub>2</sub>) could be built due to the similar lengths of these ligands. We observed that the unit cell parameter of Zn-DPDBP-UiO<sup>17</sup> (38.76 Å, DPDBP = 10,20-diphenyl-5,15-di(*p*-benzoato)porphyrin), whose ligand is similar in structure to DBP-Pt, is only 0.2% larger than that of TPHN-UiO<sup>19</sup> (38.68 Å, TPHN = 4,4'-bis(carboxyphenyl)-2-nitro-1,1'-biphenyl). The QPDC-NH<sub>2</sub> ligands in the mixed-ligand NMOF could be readily conjugated with RITC to afford the dual-emissive R-UiO NMOF. Furthermore, the relative intensities of RITC fluorescence and DBP-Pt phosphorescence can be tuned by adjusting the feed ratio of the two dyes during MOF synthesis and post-synthesis modification, respectively.

H<sub>2</sub>QPDC-NH<sub>2</sub> was obtained by Suzuki coupling between 4,4'-dibromo-2-amino-1,1'-biphenyl and 4-methoxycarbonylphenylboronic acid, followed by hydrolysis. H<sub>2</sub>DBP-Pt was synthesized by metalation of 5,15-di(4-methoxycarbonyl)porphyrin (Me<sub>2</sub>DBP) with K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>4</sub> in benzonitrile under reflux, followed by hydrolysis in basic conditions, and characterized by NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (Scheme S2). A solvothermal reaction between H<sub>2</sub>DBP-Pt, H<sub>2</sub>QPDC-NH<sub>2</sub>, and HfCl<sub>4</sub> in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) at 80 °C afforded the mixed-ligand NMOF, M-UiO, as an orange powder (Scheme 1). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed hexagonal plate morphology with a diameter of ~200 nm and a thickness of ~30 nm (Figure 1b). Powder Xray diffraction (PXRD), high-resolution TEM, and fast Fourier transform patterns showed that M-UiO displayed good crystallinity (Figures 1). The distance between adjacent secondary building units was measured to be ~2.26 nm by TEM, consistent with the distance of 2.18 nm calculated from the PXRD data. UV-vis spectrum of M-UiO showed only two Q-band peaks, suggesting no Pt leaching during NMOF synthesis (Figure S4).<sup>19</sup> Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) gave a DBP-Pt:QPDC-NH<sub>2</sub> molar ratio of 6.2:93.8 in M-UiO.

Rhodamine-B was covalently attached to M-UiO by forming the thiourea linkage between QPDC-NH<sub>2</sub> and RITC in R-UiO, which was confirmed by mass spectrometry (Figure S6). TEM images and PXRD patterns showed that R-UiO retained the plate-like morphology and the crystallinity of M-UiO (Figure 1a,f). Dynamic light scattering measurements gave an average diameter of 177.8 (PDI = 0.064) and 181.1 (PDI = 0.079) for M-UiO and R-UiO, respectively. The loadings of Rhodamine-B could be adjusted by controlling the feed amount of RITC, leading to R-UiO-1 and R-UiO-2 that contain 0.6 and 1.6 mol% Rhodamine-B, respectively (Figures S12 and S13).

R-UiO was incubated in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) buffer for 24 h to evaluate its stability in physiological



Figure 1. Structure and morphology of mixed-ligand M-UiO and R-UiO NMOF. (a) PXRD patterns of M-UiO, as-synthesized R-UiO and R-UiO after incubation in HBSS for 12 and 24 h. (b) TEM, (c) high-resolution TEM, and (d) fast Fourier transform patterns of M-UiO. TEM images of R-UiO (e) before and (f) after incubation in HBSS for 24 h.

conditions. After incubation, the  $d_{111}$  peak in the PXRD pattern was split into two peaks (Figure 1a), likely due to minor lattice distortion in the buffer solution. Nevertheless, TEM image clearly indicated the preservation of lattice fringes (Figures 2f, S9, and S10). Moreover, there is no Rhodamine-B or DBP-Pt leaching after incubation (Figure S8), suggesting adequate stability for oxygen sensing in the media.

To achieve ratiometric quantification using a single excitation and simultaneous detection of RITC fluorescence and DBP-Pt phosphorescence, there cannot be energy transfer from DBP-Pt to Rhodamine-B. This lack of energy transfer was confirmed by (1) a negligible overlap between H<sub>2</sub>DBP-Pt emission and Rhodamine-B absorption (Figure S5), (2) the presence of only characteristic DBP-Pt phosphorescence, but not RITC fluorescence upon exciting R-UiO at 391 nm (corresponding to H<sub>2</sub>DBP-Pt soret band, Figure S11), and (3) similar phosphorescence lifetimes of R-UiO and H2DBP-Pt (Figure S16). Ratiometric sensing was first carried out by fluorimetry with a 514 nm excitation light source in order to match the laser in confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). Under a nitrogen-saturated atmosphere ( $p_{O_2} = 0 \text{ mmHg}$ ), R-UiO-1 showed a strong emission at 630 nm from DBP-Pt and a weak emission at 570 nm attributed to RITC (Figure 2a). As  $p_{O_2}$ 



**Figure 2.** (a) Emission spectra ( $\lambda_{ex} = 514 \text{ nm}$ ) and (c) phosphorescent decays ( $\lambda_{ex} = 405 \text{ nm}$ ) of R-UiO-1 in HBSS buffer under various oxygen partial pressures. Plots of  $R_I^0/R_I$  (b) and  $\tau^0/\tau$  (d) as a function of oxygen pressure.

gradually increased to aerated atmosphere ( $p_{O_2} = 160 \text{ mmHg}$ ), the RITC fluorescence remained unchanged, while the DBP-Pt phosphorescence decreased significantly as expected. We quantitatively analyzed oxygen quenching by fitting the data to the Stern–Volmer equation,<sup>20</sup>

$$\frac{R_{\rm I}^{0}}{R_{\rm I}} = 1 + K_{\rm SV} p_{\rm O_2} \tag{1}$$

where  $K_{SV}$  is the Stern–Volmer constant, and  $R_1^{0} = I_p^{0}/I_F^{0}$  and  $R_I = I_p/I_F$  are the ratios of phosphorescence intensity to fluorescence intensity in the absence and presence of oxygen, respectively.  $R_1^{0}/R_1$  showed a good linear relationship with respect to  $p_{O_2}$  up to 80 mmHg (Figure 2b). Beyond this point, the ratio deviated from the Stern–Volmer plot (Figure S14). Such a deviation was also observed in previous reports<sup>7,21</sup> and is probably related to the complicated dynamics involved in the MOF structure. Fortunately,  $p_{O_2}$  in biological tissues is typically below 70 mmHg (except in arterial blood).<sup>22</sup> Within this range, the intensity ratio fitted well to the Stern–Volmer equation with  $K_{SV} = 0.015 \text{ mmHg}^{-1}$ . The O<sub>2</sub> quenching experiment proved that R-UiO is a good O<sub>2</sub> sensor by providing  $p_{O_2}$ -specific  $I_P/I_F$  ratios.

To further confirm the validity of ratiometric measurements using R-UiO, the phosphorescence lifetime of R-UiO-1 in HBSS buffer was measured under different  $p_{O_2}$  (Figure 2c). The data were fitted by bi-exponential decay. The amplitude weighted lifetime  $\tau$  (28.1  $\mu$ s) in a deoxygenated (0 mmHg) atmosphere steadily decreased as  $p_{O_2}$  increased and reached 7.54  $\mu$ s in an aerated atmosphere (160 mmHg). The lifetime was fitted according to eq 2 (Figure 2d):

$$\frac{\tau^0}{\tau} = 1 + K_{\rm SV} p_{\rm O_2} \tag{2}$$

where  $\tau^0$  and  $\tau$  are weighted lifetimes in the absence and presence of oxygen, respectively. The obtained  $K_{SV} = 0.017$  mmHg<sup>-1</sup> is similar to the ratiometric measurement result, which indicates negligible static quenching of R-UiO-1.

Next we tested the validity of the ratiometric sensors by CLSM. R-UiO-2 was dispersed in HBSS buffer and subjected to CLSM imaging under different  $p_{0,}$ . Upon excitation with a 514 nm agon laser, signals in the ranges of 620-660 and 540-580 nm were collected in two separate channels (Figure S17) and analyzed by ImageJ to quantify the intensity ratios,  $I_{\rm P}/I_{\rm F}$ . Notably, the  $I_{\rm P}/I_{\rm F}-p_{\rm O_2}$  curve deviates from that obtained by fluorimetry (Figure 2a), which is reasonable, given that the process of collecting photoluminescence on a CLSM is much more complicated than using a fluorimeter. Many factors besides  $p_{\Omega_2}$  such as laser power and dwell time can influence the absolute and relative intensities of phosphorescence/fluorescence.<sup>23</sup> We also noticed that the phosphorescence component was more prominent under CLSM with our instrument settings, so we used R-UiO-2 with a higher RITC loading for CLSM experiments. The  $I_P/I_F - p_{O_2}$  curves obtained by CLSM are highly reproducible with the  $I_{\rm P}/I_{\rm F}$  ratio gradually decreasing as  $p_{O_2}$  increases, and are fitted with a rational function (fomula S2, SI) which serves as a standard curve for intracellular  $p_{O_2}$ measurements.

We chose mouse colon carcinoma CT26 cells with a low background autofluorescence for *in vitro* imaging. The cellular uptake of R-UiO-2 in CT26 cells was first investigated by incubating CT26 cells with 30  $\mu$ g/mL R-UiO-2 for different times. Cytotoxicity assay indicates that R-UiO is biocompatible (Figure S18). Time-dependent endocytosis was quantified by ICP-MS and shown in Figure 3b. Efficient cellular uptake (~2.5 pg/cell) was observed after a 2 h incubation, which indicates feasibility for intracellular  $p_{O_2}$  measurements.



**Figure 3.** (a) Calibration curve of the phosphorescence/fluorescence intensity of R-UiO-2 on CLSM under different oxygen partial pressures. (b) Time-dependent cellular uptake of R-UiO-2 in CT26 cells determined by ICP-MS. Ratiometric luminescence imaging ( $\lambda_{ex} =$ 514 nm) of CT26 cells after incubation with R-UiO-2 under (c) hypoxia, (d) normoxia, and (e) aerated conditions. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m.

Finally, we used R-UiO-2 to examine cellular O<sub>2</sub> levels. CT26 cells were incubated with R-UiO-2 for 2 h. The culturing media were then exchanged to HBSS buffer with different  $p_{O_2}$  in a sealed chamber, and cells were incubated for another 15 min to ensure efficient oxygen exchange across cell plasma membranes. CLSM settings were identical to those used for the calibration

curve. We tested three different  $p_{O_2}$  conditions: 4, 32, and 160 mmHg, which represent hypoxia, normoxia and aerated conditions, respectively. Different channels of cellular uptake of R-UiO-2 captured by CLSM are shown in Figure S19. The  $I_P/I_F$  ratios of R-UiO-2 are shown by pseudocolor images in Figure 3c. The  $I_P/I_F$  values of internalized R-UiO-2 analyzed by ImageJ were 5.29  $\pm$  0.12, 4.45  $\pm$  0.09, and 2.80  $\pm$  0.06, corresponding to 5.1  $\pm$  2.5, 27.3  $\pm$  3.1, and 158  $\pm$  11 mmHg (see SI for error analysis), respectively, according to the calibration curve in Figure 3a. The results matched well with the preset  $p_{O_2}$  in each chamber. These data suggest the broad range and good accuracy of R-UiO as intracellular oxygen sensor.

In conclusion, we have for the first time reported the rational design and synthesis of stable, crystalline, porous, and dualemissive R-UiO NMOFs for ratiometric sensing of intracellular oxygen in live cells. This study should inspire the design of NMOF sensors for other biologically important analytes by taking advantage of the synthetic tunability, structural versatility, and other unique attributes of NMOFs.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b13458.

Experimental details for the synthesis and characterization of M-UiO and R-UiO NMOFs, oxygen calibration and fitting, cellular uptake, and live cell imaging, including Figures S1-S20 (PDF)

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Author**

\*wenbinlin@uchicago.edu

## **Author Contributions**

<sup>§</sup>R.X. and Y.W. contributed equally.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the NIH (UO1-CA198989 and P30 CA014599) for funding support and Dr. Vytas Bindokas for help with confocal microscopy imaging. Additional support was provided by the Chicago MRSEC, which is funded by NSF through grant DMR-1420709.

### REFERENCES

(1) Ikeda. Pathol. Int. 2005, 55, 603-610.

- (2) Harris, A. L. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2002, 2, 38-47.
- (3) Höckel, M.; Schlenger, K.; Aral, B.; Mitze, M.; Schäffer, U.; Vaupel, P. *Cancer Res.* **1996**, *56*, 4509–4515.

(4) Gatenby, R. A.; Kessler, H. B.; Rosenblum, J. S.; Coia, L. R.; Moldofsky, P. J.; Hartz, W. H.; Broder, G. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol., Biol., Phys. **1988**, 14, 831–838.

(5) Hoogsteen, I. J.; Lok, J.; Marres, H. A.; Takes, R. P.; Rijken, P. F.; van der Kogel, A. J.; Kaanders, J. H. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2009**, *45*, 2906–2914.

(6) Mees, G.; Dierckx, R.; Vangestel, C.; Van de Wiele, C. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2009**, *36*, 1674–1686.

(7) (a) Cooper, R. A.; Carrington, B. M.; Loncaster, J. A.; Todd, S. M.; Davidson, S. E.; Logue, J. P.; Luthra, A. D.; Jones, A. P.; Stratford, I.; Hunter, R. D. *Radiother. Oncol.* **2000**, *57*, 53–59. (b) Padhani, A. R.; Krohn, K. A.; Lewis, J. S.; Alber, M. Eur. Radiol. **2007**, *17*, 861–872.

(8) (a) Zhang, G.; Palmer, G. M.; Dewhirst, M. W.; Fraser, C. L. Nat. Mater. 2009, 8, 747–751. (b) Spencer, J. A.; Ferraro, F.; Roussakis, E.; Klein, A.; Wu, J.; Runnels, J. M.; Zaher, W.; Mortensen, L. J.; Alt, C.; Turcotte, R.; et al. Nature 2014, 508, 269–273. (c) Wu, C.; Bull, B.; Christensen, K.; McNeill, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2741– 2745. (d) Wang, X.-d.; Stolwijk, J. A.; Lang, T.; Sperber, M.; Meier, R. J.; Wegener, J.; Wolfbeis, O. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17011– 17014. (e) Zhao, Q.; Zhou, X.; Cao, T.; Zhang, K. Y.; Yang, L.; Liu, S.; Liang, H.; Yang, H.; Li, F.; Huang, W. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 1825–1831. (f) Yoshihara, T.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Hosaka, M.; Takeuchi, T.; Tobita, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4148–4151.

(9) Feng, Y.; Cheng, J.; Zhou, L.; Zhou, X.; Xiang, H. Analyst 2012, 137, 4885–4901.

(10) Koo, Y.-E. L.; Cao, Y.; Kopelman, R.; Koo, S. M.; Brasuel, M.; Philbert, M. A. Anal. Chem. 2004, 76, 2498–2505.

(11) Chojnacki, P.; Mistlberger, G.; Klimant, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8850–8853.

(12) Amelia, M.; Lavie-Cambot, A.; McClenaghan, N. D.; Credi, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 325–327.

(13) (a) Kreno, L. E.; Leong, K.; Farha, O. K.; Allendorf, M.; Van Duyne, R. P.; Hupp, J. T. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 1105–1125. (b) Liu, D.; Lu, K.; Poon, C.; Lin, W. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 1916–1924.
(c) Hu, Z.; Deibert, B. J.; Li, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5815–5840.
(d) Guo, Z.; Song, X.; Lei, H.; Wang, H.; Su, S.; Xu, H.; Qian, G.; Zhang, H.; Chen, B. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 376–379.

(14) (a) Yang, W.; Feng, J.; Song, S.; Zhang, H. ChemPhysChem
2012, 13, 2734–2738. (b) Suresh, V. M.; Chatterjee, S.; Modak, R.; Tiwari, V.; Patel, A. B.; Kundu, T. K.; Maji, T. K. J. Phys. Chem. C
2014, 118, 12241–12249. (c) Wu, P.; Wang, J.; He, C.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Liu, T.; Duan, C. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 1698–1703. (15) (a) He, C.; Lu, K.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 12253– 12256. (b) Taylor, K. M.; Rieter, W. J.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14358–14359. (c) deKrafft, K. E.; Xie, Z.; Cao, G.; Tran, S.; Ma, L.; Zhou, O. Z.; Lin, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9901–9904.
(d) Hatakeyama, W.; Sanchez, T. J.; Rowe, M. D.; Serkova, N. J.; Liberatore, M. W.; Boyes, S. G. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2011, 3, 1502–1510. (e) Foucault-Collet, A.; Gogick, K. A.; White, K. A.; Villette, S.; Pallier, A.; Collet, G.; Kieda, C.; Li, T.; Geib, S. J.; Rosi, N. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2013, 110, 17199–17204.

(16) McKinlay, A. C.; Morris, R. E.; Horcajada, P.; Férey, G.; Gref, R.; Couvreur, P.; Serre, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 6260–6266.

(17) Lu, K.; He, C.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16712–16715.

(18) Coppeta, J.; Rogers, C. Exp. Fluids 1998, 25, 1-15.

(19) Manna, K.; Zhang, T.; Greene, F. X.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2665–2673.

(20) (a) Valeur, B.; Berberan-Santos, M. N. Molecular fluorescence: principles and applications; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2012.
(b) Turro, N. J.; Ramamurthy, V.; Scaiano, J. C. Modern molecular photochemistry of organic molecules; Wiley Online Library: New York, 2012.

(21) Zhang, G.; Chen, J.; Payne, S. J.; Kooi, S. E.; Demas, J.; Fraser, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 8942–8943.

(22) Carreau, A.; Hafny-Rahbi, B. E.; Matejuk, A.; Grillon, C.; Kieda, C. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2011, 15, 1239–1253.

(23) Finikova, O. S.; Lebedev, A. Y.; Aprelev, A.; Troxler, T.; Gao, F.; Garnacho, C.; Muro, S.; Hochstrasser, R. M.; Vinogradov, S. A. *ChemPhysChem* **2008**, *9*, 1673–1679.